IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3515 OF 2004
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 987 OF 2004

1. Dadaji Shivaji Shewale,
2. Sau. Vithabai Shivaji Shewale. .. .. .. Applicants (Appellants in appeal) 1&2

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra.
2. Sau. Jayshree Dadaji Shewale. .. .. ..Respondents

Ms Preetam Tendulkar, Advocate, for the Applicants.

Shri. U. V. Nikam, A. P. P., for Respondent No.1-State.

Respondent No. 2 served.

CORAM : A. M. KHANWILKAR, J.
DATE : NOVEMBER 21, 2005

P. C.

. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. As the maximum sentence to be undergone by the applicants is three years as imposed by the trial Court and that there is no likelihood of the appeal being heard at an early date, which is admitted on 8.9.2004, coupled with the fact that the applicants were on bail during the pendency of the trial and there is nothing to suggest that they have misused the bail so granted,

in the interests of justice, the applicants are released on bail on the same conditions as obtained during the pendency of the trial on executing fresh bonds. The amount deposited by the applicants in this Court be invested in fixed deposit in a nationalised bank, initially for a period of two years, to be renewed from time to time until further orders.

(A. M. KHANWILKAR, J.)